

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/939,743	Applicant(s) LAGOON ET AL.	
	Examiner Dipakkumar Gandhi	Art Unit 2133	

All Participants:

(1) Dipakkumar Gandhi.

(2) Sol Sheinbein.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 December 2004

Time: 12:15 PM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 3 and claim 6.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner recommended the following amendment to the application. Applicants' attorney Sol Sheinbein agreed.

Claim 3: Line 1 of claim 3, "A method for providing" should be changed to -- A computer generated method for providing--.

Claim 6: Line 1 of claim 6, "A method for providing" should be changed to -- A computer generated method for providing--..